



Aztec • Bloomfield • Farmington • San Juan County • NEW MEXICO

A G E N D A

Farmington Metropolitan Planning Organization Technical Committee Meeting

**Commission Chambers
San Juan County Administrative Building
100 S Oliver
Aztec, NM**

**May 27, 2010
10:00 a.m.**

AGENDA
FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING
May 27, 2010 10:00 A.M.

This meeting will be held in the Commission Chambers, San Juan County Administrative Building, 100 S Oliver, Aztec, New Mexico.

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1. Call meeting to order	
2. Approve the minutes from the March 25, 2010 Technical Committee meeting.	1
3. Recommend approval of the 2010 Traffic Count Location list.	10
4. Bicycle Pedestrian Plan Update a. Review updates to the Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan. b. Recommend approval of the update to the Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan.	15
5. Receive a report from NMDOT. a. District 5 b. Planning Division	
6. Receive a report on the Major Thoroughfare Plan.	16
7. Receive a report on the Red Apple Transit Study.	18
8. Receive a report on the TIGER II grant program.	20
9. Information Items a. Safety Announcement Follow-up b. Safe Routes to School Program Update c. MPO Quarterly d. Other	23
10. Business from: a. Chairman b. Members c. Staff	
11. Business from the floor	
12. Adjournment	

ATTENTION PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES: If you are an individual with a disability who is in need of a reader, amplifier, qualified sign language interpreter, or any other form of auxiliary aid or service to attend or participate in the hearing or meeting, please contact the MPO Officer at the Farmington Community Development Office, 805 Municipal, Farmington, New Mexico, at least one week prior to the meeting or as soon as possible. Public documents, including the agenda and minutes, can be provided in various accessible formats. Please contact the MPO Officer at the Farmington Community Development office if a summary or other type of accessible format is needed

MINUTES
FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING
March 25, 2010

Technical Members Present: Cindy Lopez, City of Farmington
Steve Christensen, City of Aztec
Julie Baird, City of Bloomfield
Nica Westerling, City of Farmington
Chico Quintana, Alternate, City of Farmington

Technical Members Absent: Dave Keck, San Juan County

Staff Present: Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner
Dee Dee Moore, MPO Admin Aide

Staff Absent: Bob Campbell, MPO Officer

Also Present: Dave Martinez, NMDOT
Ray Matthew, NMDOT
Linda Sillers, SJCI
Paula Gruber, SJCI
Rudy Ferrari, Citizen
Gilbert Motto, Citizen
Hugh Motto, Citizen
Jonah Martin, Citizen
Tootsie Barnes, Citizen
Raymond Barnes, Citizen
Keith Ashmore, Velo De Animas Bicycle Club

1. CALL TO ORDER

Ms. Baird called the meeting to order at 10:03 am.

2. APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM THE FEBRUARY 25, 2010 TECHNICAL MEETING

Ms. Lopez made a motion to approve the minutes from the February 25, 2010 Technical Committee meeting. Mr. Christensen seconded that motion. Motion was passed unanimously.

3. METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLAN (MTP)

FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION Agenda Item

Subject:	Metropolitan Transportation Plan
Prepared by:	Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner
Date:	March 17, 2010

BACKGROUND or PREVIOUS WORK

- Staff has finalized the elements of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.
- Staff has finished meeting with the committee members, stakeholders, and the general public to review the draft Metropolitan Transportation Plan.
- A formal 30-day public comment period was opened on February 28 and closes on April 7, 2010.

CURRENT WORK

- Review the final draft of the plan with the Committee members.

ANTICIPATED WORK

- Seek adoption of the plan by the Policy Committee in April.

BACKUP MATERIAL

- Final draft of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan has been provided to the Technical Committee members.
- Final draft of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan is available on the MPO website at: <http://www.farmingtonmpo.org/mtp.html>
- PC Resolution 2010-1 for review.

RECOMMENDATION

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee:
 - Review the final draft of the plan.
 - Hold a public hearing on the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.
 - Recommend adoption of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.

Discussion: Mr. Delmagori provided a chapter by chapter overview of the MTP document to all attendees. He highlighted the main points of the chapter. When discussing potential future truck routes, Ms. Westerling stated that the City of Farmington was designing the Pinon Hills Bridge road for only 10% trucks as a freight option. With regards to the Safety data from 2005-2007, Ms. Westerling said that the problem on 20th Street at East Main may have already been fixed by adding a double left turn.

After the review was complete Ms. Baird opened the public hearing for the MTP update. Mr. Ferrari asked if this pertained specifically to the US64 project. Ms. Baird suggested that if

the public had specific comments about that project to wait until the end of the meeting when comments are received from the floor. Mr. Lucero stated that that project could also be discussed within the TIP.

Mr. Christensen thought it was important to remember what Policy Committee Member Mr. Darnell brought up: how are we going to begin to acquire the right-of-way (ROW) for some of these long range projects and how are we going to coordinate with the entities to begin budgeting the necessary funds? Is that a MPO function, and how much of a function is it to help coordinate that effort? Mr. Christensen felt the need to acquire ROW for the long range projects is now. Ms. Westerling suggested doing preliminary ROW studies to identify where exactly the ROW is needed based on the best road alignment. Perhaps grant money could be sought after to do the ROW studies as part of the MPO planning process. Mr. Christensen stated that he thought the County was interested in doing part of the Highline Road study. Ms. Westerling suggested doing a study for all of the proposed roads to make sure the routes are feasible. Mr. Christensen suggested that the DOT would need to be a part of that team.

Mr. Delmagori suggested that the MPO Staff can help educate the entities involved in the process, City and County officials, BLM officials, and/or other agencies that might be involved in the process. Mr. Delmagori also stated that perhaps some of the MPO planning funds could be used for some preliminary studies. Mr. Lucero stated that identifying the corridors would be done during the major thoroughfare planning stage and at that time it would be necessary to identify what functional classification each roadway would be which would help determined the amount of ROW required.

Various audience members asked if there was an additional meeting for the US 64 project. Mr. Martinez shared that the US64 is fully designed and that there were no meetings that he was aware of. Mr. Motto asked Mr. Martinez if there was still time to address safety issues with the design of US 64. Mr. Martinez asked the attendees to address their issues during the public input portion of the agenda and that he would answer their questions as best as he could.

Mr. Martin asked what importance was placed on infrastructure during the corridor identification process that may be used to expand industrial and residential areas. Mr. Lucero shared that by using the growth rates in correlation with current available infrastructure, it is easier to identify areas that are more prone to expand. There are also other factors that can affected the modeling such as who owns the land, inaccessibility, archeological sites and regulation regarding no-build zones next to oil equipment.

Ms. Westerling stated that the MPO tries to create plans on a regional basis and then the cities try to adopt the same plans and maps for the portions that reside within the city limits. She also stated that for each project the utilities would need to be identified. Mr. Martin questioned if the utilities had not been looked at for the scenarios at this point in time. Ms. Westerling shared that some of those things were looked at during identifying the roads, but until the preliminary corridor studies are completed and the actual location established there is no identified new infrastructure. Mr. Martin asked if there was flexibility within the MTP plan to accommodate any changes. Ms. Westerling answered yes, that plan will accommodate all changes as determined by the studies. Mr. Lucero shared that the roads locations that were identified looked at the most plausible location to build, since issues such as terrain can limit the locations. The northern route uses a large portion of existing oil field road that is 60 feet wide. Ms. Westerling added that the MPO has to be fiscally constrained; however the

constraint is within the entities. The MPO spending is to perform traffic studies and the MPO functions. Mr. Martin asked about the dollars assigned to the projects. Ms. Westerling stated that those figures are just estimates. Mr. Lucero stated that the next topic would cover projects with actual dollar figures. Ms. Westerling shared that the estimate for the Pinon Hills Bridge (PHB) crossing is very accurate because that project is 90% designed.

With there being no further questions, Ms. Baird closed the public hearing. Mr. Delmagori stated that after recommended adoption by the Technical Committee that the MTP would go to the Policy Committee on April 15, 2010.

Action: The presentation was received. Ms. Westerling recommended adoption of the MTP. Ms. Lopez seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

4. FY2011-2016 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
Agenda Item**

Subject:	FY2011-2016 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
Prepared by:	Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner
Date:	March 17, 2010

BACKGROUND

- The MPO updates the TIP on an annual basis.
- Staff has met with the entities to revise project information.
- The TIP Introduction and financial plan have been developed.
- The draft FY2011-2016 TIP has been issued for public comment.

CURRENT WORK

- Final revisions have been added to the TIP.
- A 30-day public comment period closes on April 7.
- A public hearing on the TIP will be held during the Technical Committee meeting on March 25.

ANTICIPATED WORK

- Any potential public comments will be incorporated into the final TIP document.
- The Policy Committee is expected to adopt the FY2011-2016 TIP through resolution at their April 15 meeting.
- The STIP will be revised based on the MPO TIP.

ATTACHMENTS

- FY2011-2016 TIP Introduction, Regionally-Significant, Non-Regionally Significant, and Unfunded project lists (provided under separate cover to committee members and entity staff; document also available on MPO website).

RECOMMENDATION
<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ It is recommended that the Technical Committee:<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Hold a public hearing on the FY2011-2016 TIP.b. Recommend adoption of the FY2011-2016 TIP.

Discussion: Mr. Lucero reviewed the three separate TIP handouts with the attendees and notified the public that he would address any questions regarding the TIP. The Regionally Significant list contains projects with federal funding or those that are functionally classified. The Non Regional list contains projects that are funded but are considered local projects. The Unfunded project list identifies projects that the state can go back and pull from when additional funding is made available. Mr. Lucero reviewed the projects that are receiving federal funding. He spoke about the projects that received American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funding. These include the East Arterial Project, which is scheduled to begin in April 2010, W. Maple St., Wildflower Pkwy and the bridge on CR 2900. Projects receiving Safety Funding were CR 350/CR 3720 intersection, the West Main/Apache intersection, and Light Plant Rd at NM 516. Funding from the Transportation and Community and System Preservation (TCSP) program was received for Pinon Hills Blvd and for the East Arterial. FTA funding is provided for the Red Apple Transit capital and operating. Mr. Lucero also shared that a project may be listed more than once because that project could have multiple funding sources with different control numbers from the State or Federal government. Mr. Lucero added that any changes previously noted have been corrected.

Mr. Motto shared that their property has about a 40' drop from US 64 to the level of their property and that there have been four or five accidents where people have driven over this drop. Their family has attended several of the US64 meetings and voiced concerns about this issue. Currently the family is in negotiations for ROW and wants to know if there is a way to bring these safety issues into the negotiations. When an accident occurs the fences are down and their livestock have free access to the highway. Also the insurance on the property was cancelled due to the perception of not doing enough to keep people from driving off the road. Mr. Motto shared there is a retaining wall going in at the Sacred Winds Communication Center, but that is the only wall being built.

Mr. Martinez explained that there is a difference between a retaining wall and a safety barrier. A safety barrier is considered a fix object and creates its own traffic hazard. Roads are designed to minimize fixed traffic hazards. Depending on the steepness of the slope, the AASTO guideline for geometric design, and another national guidelines are reviewed concerning barrier use. Mr. Martinez stated that he can look into the past history of this issue. Mr. Motto stated that he had talked with Randy Crocket. Mr. Martinez brought up the meeting with the NMDOT regarding the acquisition of their property for the ROW and that they would be meeting face to face with the Motto's. Mr. Martinez shared that the meeting would be a good time to address those issues and make them part of the ROW acquisition agreement. Mr. Martinez also informed all the landowners that he attends the ROW status meeting twice a month and meets with the negotiators and the appraisers. The NMDOT have a September deadline to acquire the entire additional ROW. Mr. Martinez supplied the landowners with his business card and suggested they call him if they had any furthers questions. The Motto's are waiting to hear when their ROW meeting will be scheduled and Mr. Martinez volunteered to attend that meeting and see what can best suit their safety needs and the NMDOT requirements.

Mr. Lucero shared that the accident rates at intersections can be brought to the MPO's attention and that data can sometimes be used to identify safety projects that qualify for federal funding.

Mr. Motto stated that access to US64 from Newby Lane is difficult because it is on the curve. The trees limit site distance and their family has done trimming and maintenance on that stretch of road to help reduce safety concerns.

Mr. Motto added that while looking at areas that may expand, they have commercial property within the city limits that need to have utilities provided prior to building and perhaps that should be done before the highway is built.

Mr. Ashmore asked about the road identified as 14A in the MTP scenarios and if there were plans drawings showing the Bicycle Lane/Path. Ms. Westerling stated that ROW is pending and that when something is drawn up she can contact him. His other concern is on project 43, San Juan to Pinon Hills Blvd, identified as a bike path/multi use path. Mr. Delmagori shared that that project was intended to be a separate path parallel to E. Main. Mr. Ashmore asked if the project along East Main Street would continue to Old Aztec Highway. Mr. Delmagori shared that project was identified as a Safety Project to widen the shoulder on the west bound side. Mr. Ashmore asked what about the eastbound side. Ms. Westerling said that part of the plan is dependent on how the NMDOT does the widening project and could be five to six years. Mr. Delmagori shared that the short-term project could be 10 years. Ms. Westerling said that "higher priority" might be a better definition than "short-term" because when funding is available that is when the City of Farmington would build the project. However with the upcoming budget meetings at the Farmington Library and with the city council being composed of a new Mayor and a new Councilor the priorities may differ. Public comments are welcome and Ms. Westerling urged the bicycle enthusiasts to attend.

Action: The draft TIP was reviewed. Ms. Baird opened and closed the public comment period for the TIP, noting that the MPO would record those comments made by the public into the TIP. Ms. Westerling recommended adoption of the TIP. Ms. Lopez seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

5. RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE FY2011 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM (UPWP)

FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Agenda Item

Subject:	FY2010 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)
Prepared by:	Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
Date:	March 17, 2010

BACKGROUND

- The Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) is the fiscal year work plan for the MPO, covering work activities from July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011.

- Staff reviewed the draft UPWP with both the Technical and Policy Committees in February.

CURRENT and ANTICIPATED WORK

- Revisions to the draft list of activities, products, and timeframes have been made.
- FHWA PL funding is estimated to be about \$185,893 for FY2011.
- Staff has been advised by the Transit Bureau to use current 5303 funding for FY2011.
- Staff anticipates \$23,500 in FTA 5303 funding for FY2011.
- Staff will review the final draft FY2011 UPWP with the Technical Committee on March 25.
- The MPO will seek approval of the annual work plan in April.

ATTACHMENTS

- Draft FY2011 UPWP (provided under separate cover to committee members and entity staff; document also available on MPO website).

RECOMMENDATION

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee recommend approval of the FY2011 UPWP.

Discussion: Mr. Delmagori asked if there were any questions regarding the UPWP. Ms. Baird shared that the UPWP had been reviewed previously. Mr. Delmagori shared that the only change was the addition of the Introduction.

Action: The draft UPWP was discussed. Ms. Lopez recommended approval of the UPWP. Ms. Westerling seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

6. RECEIVE A REPORT FROM NMDOT

Discussion: Mr. Martinez shared that all the ARRA projects have been out to bid and recommendation for contract approval have been sent out. He asked Ms. Baird for an update on Bloomfield’s project. She stated that a Letter of Concurrence from Benny was expected on Monday. Mr. Martinez informed the committee that the State Legislative recently rescinded capital outlay money and letters should be received this week. Mr. Lucero asked whether it will affect the current TIP information. Mr. Martinez indicated that it only affected those projects that had not started or had not made any recent progress. Mr. Delmagori asked Mr. Martinez to remind Phil about getting the local TCSP projects into the STIP as amendments in May. Mr. Martinez stated that some entities that procrastinated on starting older projects lost funding, some up to \$2 million.

Mr. Matthew had no information to share regarding planning.

7. INFORMATION ITEMS

FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
Agenda Item

Subject:	Information Items
Prepared by:	Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner
Date:	March 17, 2010

INFORMATION ITEMS

- a. **NMED Air Quality Public Meeting.** Staff attended the NM Environmental Department's public meeting on the new proposed federal ozone standards on February 25, 2010.
- b. **MPO Quarterly Meeting.** Staff attended the statewide MPO meeting in Albuquerque on March 16.
- c. **Safe Routes to School Program Update.** A summary of the latest activities and events will be provided at the meeting.
- d. **Other.**

Discussion: Mr. Lucero shared that staff attended the NMED Air Quality meeting on February 25, 2010 and that if the standard drops below .070, two out of the three monitors within the MPO boundary will be in non-attainment. Staff also attended the MPO Quarterly Meeting in Albuquerque on March 16, 2010. An addition school is considering joining the three participating schools in the SRTS program. Mr. Lucero handed out a copy of the recent Red Apple Transit report. The Red Apple Transit numbers on the graph are good, but the dates are not. The data starts on February 2009 for one year. It shows a negative trend when compared to last year at this time but has a positive increase from last month. An RFP for the transit study has been issued. Mr. Delmagori shared that Mr. Quintana raised the question of transit to Shiprock and that language to this effect has been added to the scope of the RFP.

Action: The information items were received.

8. BUSINESS FROM THE CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS AND STAFF

Discussion: There was no business from the Chairman, members or staff.

9. BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Discussion: There was no business from the floor

10. ADJOURNMENT

Discussion: Ms. Lopez made the motion to adjourn. Ms. Westerling seconded that motion. Meeting adjourned at 11:55 am.

Julie Baird - Chair

Dee Dee Moore - MPO Admin Aide

FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
Agenda Item

Subject:	2010 Traffic Count Program
Prepared by:	Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner
Date:	May 18, 2010

BACKGROUND

- MPO maintains traffic counts for 221 locations throughout the MPO boundaries.
- Locations are taken according to a three year cycle and periodically change due to the deletion or addition of various locations.
- This year will be the start of a new three year cycle with some count locations now having multiple years of information.
- Counts that were not approved last year or were affected by road construction will be counted in 2010.
- The MPO expects to take 81 counts in 2010.

CURRENT WORK

- The proposed location list is attached for review.
- The members are asked to identify locations that might conflict with known road construction schedules.
- Staff will ask member entities to review the list in August for any unforeseen conflicts with construction or other events.
- MPO anticipates taking the counts in September and October.

RECOMMENDATION

- Staff recommends that the Technical Committee review the report on the traffic count program and recommend approval of the 2010 Traffic Count Location list.

TCDS ID	MPO ID Num	Name	From	To	Located At:	MP Location	Area	Func Class
AZ_31	Bla-1	Blanco St.	US 550	Mesa Verde	At Church St	0.05	Aztec	COLL
AZ_32	Bla-2	Blanco St.	Mesa Verde	Aztec City Limits	At Pollard	0.4	Aztec	COLL
AZ_41	Cha-1	Chaco St	NM 516	Park Ave	Approximately 300 ft west of Ash St.	0.356	Aztec	MNAR
AZ_92	McCoy-1	McCoy	US 550	End of road	South of Long	0.17	Aztec	COLL
AZ_93	MesaV-1	Mesa Verde	Zia	Chuska	Approximately 100' N of Zia	0.01	Aztec	COLL
AZ_94	MesaV-2	Mesa Verde	Chuska	Blanco	At Chaco St	0.17	Aztec	COLL
AZ_95	MesaV-3	Mesa Verde	Blanco	US 550	North of Safford	0.3	Aztec	COLL
AZ_108	173-1	NM 173	US 550	CR 2950	Approximately 400' west of Sabena St.	0.45	Aztec	COLL
AZ_120	516-9	NM 516	Ruins Rd	Chaco St	Animas River Crossing	13.16	Aztec	PRAR
AZ_125	Park-1	Park Ave	Rio Grande Ave	Chaco St	At Llano St.	0.3	Aztec	COLL
AZ_135	RioG-1	Rio Grande Ave	Blanco St	US 550	At intersection of San Ysidro Pl.	0.28	Aztec	COLL
AZ_138	Ruin-1	Ruins Rd	NM 516	Aztec City Limits	At intersection of Kin Kaai St.	0.47	Aztec	COLL
AZ_136	SRioG-1	S Rio Grande Ave	US 550	Aztec City Limit	Between Ute and Park	0.1	Aztec	COLL
BL_61	EBla-1	E Blanco/CR 4899	US 550	US 64	West of Saiz Rd	0.4	Bloomfield	MNAR
BL_155	550-1	US 550	Sullivan Rd	US 64	San Juan River Crossing	151.1	Bloomfield	PRAR
BL_175	64-10	US 64	Church	US 550 S	Between 7th and 5th	63.76	Bloomfield	PRAR
SJ_49	350-2	CR 350	CR 5580	CR 5030	Between CR 5589 and CR 5030	3	County	MNCL
SJ_50	350-3	CR 350	CR 5030	CR 3000	Approximately 500' South of CR 3000	6.2	County	COLL
SJ_51	350-4	CR 350	CR 3000	NM 516	Animas River Crossing	6.6	County	COLL
SJ_56	5500-1	CR 5500	US 64	CR 5510	San Juan River Crossing	0.68	County	MNCL
SJ_58	5580-1	CR 5580	CR 5569	CR 5584	At CR 5578	0.08	County	COLL
SJ_59	6500-1	CR 6500	US 64	CR 6480	At CR 6444	0.38	County	MJCL
SJ_99	Mur-2	Murray Dr/NM	Pinon St	Miller	Animas River Crossing	1.57	County	PRAR

TCDS ID	MPO ID Num	Name	From	To	Located At:	MP Location	Area	Func Class
		5001						
SJ_110	371-1	NM 371	CR 7100	Old Fruitland Hwy/ NR 36	Approximately 500' south of NR 36	106.25	County	MNAR
SJ_111	371-2	NM 371	Old Fruitland Hwy/ NR 36	Murray Dr/ NM 5001	San Juan River Crossing	107.5	County	MNAR
SJ_137	SRioG-2	S Rio Grande Ave	Aztec City Limit	CR 3008	Approximately 1500' west of CR 3009	0.95	County	COLL
SJ_162	64-1	US 64	CR 6893	CR 6700	West of CR 6700	35.12	County	PRAR
SJ_173	64-8	US 64	CR 5569	CR 5500	Approximately 200' east of CR 5568	57.6	County	PRAR
SJ_174	64-9	US 64	CR 5500	Church	Approximately 4.5 mi east of CR 5500	62.2	County	PRAR
FM_1	20-1	20th	Municipal Dr	Sunset	At Summit Dr	0.2	Farmington	MNAR
FM_2	20-2	20th	Sunset	Dustin	West of Brookside Dr.	0.4	Farmington	MNAR
FM_3	20-3	20th	Dustin	Butler	Approximately 100' E of Monterrey	0.65	Farmington	MNAR
FM_7	24-1	24th	Glade	Sunset	West of Western Ave	1.1	Farmington	COLL
FM_10	30-3	30th	Dustin	Butler	West of Crestridge Dr	1.07	Farmington	MNAR
FM_8	30-1	30th	Pinon Hills	Sunset	Approximately 300' W of Sunset	0.64	Farmington	MNAR
FM_9	30-2	30th	Sunset	Dustin	West of Crescent Ave	0.8	Farmington	MNAR
FM_16	38-1	38th	Dustin	Butler	At Buena Vista	0.15	Farmington	COLL
FM_19	And-1	Andrea	US 64	Wildflower Pkwy	South of Billie Lane	0.5	Farmington	MNCL
FM_20	Apa-1	Apache	US 64/W Main	Palmer	Between Hicks and Palmer	0.8	Farmington	MNAR
FM_22	Apa-3	Apache	Airport	Auburn	Approximately 200' west of Schwartz Ave	1.68	Farmington	MNAR
FM_25	Arr-1	Arrington	Airport	Wall	West of Auburn	0.4	Farmington	COLL
FM_27	AubN-2	Auburn Ave N	Apache	Glade	At Navajo St	0.71	Farmington	COLL
FM_30	Beh-1	Behrend	Pinon St	Main St	Between Animas & Cedar	0.28	Farmington	COLL
FM_33	Bro-1	Brookside	Glade/Auburn	20th	South of 20th	0.36	Farmington	COLL

TCDS ID	MPO ID Num	Name	From	To	Located At:	MP Location	Area	Func Class
FM_38	But-5	Butler	Ute	20th	Between 15th and 16th	1.12	Farmington	MNAR
FM_40	Car-1	Carlton	Murray Dr/ NM 5001	US 64/Broadway	Approximately 1000' north of Murray	0.19	Farmington	COLL
FM_43	Clif-1	Cliffside	Fairview	Hutton	Between Culpepper and Rio Vista	0.13	Farmington	COLL
FM_44	Clif-2	Cliffside	Hutton	NM 516/E Main St	Between Apple and Arch	0.64	Farmington	COLL
FM_191	Col-2	College Blvd	30th	Windsor	Approximately 1000' N of 30th St	0.19	Farmington	MNAR
FM_60	Cre-1	Crestwood Dr	Thomas	Foothills	Between Woodland and Foothills	0.57	Farmington	COLL
FM_62	Eng-1	English	S of Gila	Largo	Approximately 500' S of Kayenta	0.05	Farmington	COLL
FM_65	FairG-1	Fairgrounds	Main St	Cooper	Between Navajo and 11th St	0.3	Farmington	COLL
FM_66	FairV-1	Fairview	Main St	20th	Between 16th and 17th	0.5	Farmington	COLL
FM_68	Farm-1	Farmington Ave	Main St	20th	Between 17th and 18th	0.8	Farmington	COLL
FM_71	HMesa-1	Hood Mesa Tr	Pinon Hills Blvd	Anasazi Trail	Approximately 1000' north of Pinon Hills Blvd	0.19	Farmington	COLL
FM_78	Lake-3	Lake St	US 64/Broadway	Main St	North of US 64/Broadway	0.61	Farmington	COLL
FM_79	Lar-1	Largo St	NM 516/E Main St	English	East of Washington	0.2	Farmington	COLL
FM_80	Lar-2	Largo St	English	Rail Road	West of Highland View Dr	0.53	Farmington	COLL
FM_83	Main-1	Main St	US 64/Broadway	Airport	Approximately 200' W of Airport	0.04	Farmington	PRAR
FM_84	Main-2	Main St	Airport	Lake St	At Giles	0.15	Farmington	PRAR
FM_86	Main-4	Main St	Auburn	Butler	Approximately 400' W of Butler	1.34	Farmington	PRAR
FM_96	Mil-1	Miller	Murray Dr/ NM 5001	US 64/Broadway	Animas River Crossing	0.05	Farmington	COLL
FM_213	Mun-2	Municipal Dr	Airport Dr	Navajo	At Reilly	0.04	Farmington	MNAR
FM_97	Mun-1	Municipal Dr	Navajo	20th	Between Gladden and Ashurst	0.4	Farmington	MNAR
FM_101	NavE-1	Navajo St E	Butler	Vine Ave	Approximately 600' west of Vine	0.2	Farmington	COLL

TCDS ID	MPO ID Num	Name	From	To	Located At:	MP Location	Area	Func Class
FM_103	NavW-1	Navajo St W	Auburn N	Municipal Dr	Approximately 400' west of Auburn	0.47	Farmington	COLL
FM_105	170-1	NM 170	US 64	Pinon Hills Blvd	Approximately 4500' north of US 64	0.85	Farmington	PRAR
FM_112	516-1	NM 516	Morningstar Dr	Main St	Animas River Crossing	1.6	Farmington	PRAR
FM_218	516-14	NM 516	English	Pinon Hills Blvd	Approximately 500' east of Sierra Vista Dr	4.1	Farmington	PRAR
FM_126	PHB-1	Pinon Hills Blvd	NM 170	30th	LaPlata River Crossing	0.47	Farmington	PRAR
FM_128	PHB-3	Pinon Hills Blvd	Butler	College	Approximately 2000' east of Hood Mesa Tr.	4.41	Farmington	PRAR
FM_141	Sdlwd-1	Sandalwood	College	English	Approximately 800' west of English	0.34	Farmington	COLL
FM_148	Sul-2	Sullivan	15th	20th	At 18th St	0.8	Farmington	MNAR
FM_149	Sul-3	Sullivan	20th	30th	Approximately 100' S of Cliffside Dr.	1.23	Farmington	MNAR
FM_152	Troy-1	Troy King Rd	US 64	CR 6480	At Eagle St	0.57	Farmington	COLL
FM_153	TwPk-1	Twin Peaks Rd/ CR 6480	NM 170	Troy King Rd	One mile east of Troy King Rd	0.33	Farmington	COLL
FM_166	64-5	US 64	NM 170	Murray Dr/ NM 5001	Between Gooding and Gower	48.8	Farmington	PRAR
FM_170	64Bdwy-4	US 64/Broadway	Scott	Murray Dr/ NM 5001	Animas River Crossing	51.78	Farmington	PRAR
FM_182	Wall-1	Wall Ave	Main St	Apache St	Approximately 100' south of Apache	0.3	Farmington	MNAR
FM_184	Wldfwr-1	Wildflower Pkwy	NM 516/Browning	Wildflower Mesa Dr	Approximately 500' west of Wildflower Mesa	0.66	Farmington	COLL
FM_185	Wldfwr-2	Wildflower Pkwy	Wildflower Mesa Dr	Andrea Dr	Approximately 1200' west of Andrea Dr.	1.8	Farmington	MJCL

FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
Agenda Item

Subject:	Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Update
Prepared by:	Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner
Date:	May 17, 2010

BACKGROUND or PREVIOUS WORK

- The original Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan was adopted by the MPO Policy Committee on June 12, 2008.
- Elements of the Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan were incorporated into the 2035 MTP by staff and discussed with the member entities, stakeholders, and both the Technical and Policy Committees.
- Public comments were received as part of the 2035 MTP process.
- The MPO prioritized the regional bicycle/pedestrian projects within the Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan as part of the 2035 MTP process.

CURRENT WORK

- Updates to key sections of the Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan have been completed to reflect changes made during the MTP update.
- Staff will review the changes to the Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan with the Technical Committee on May 27.

ANTICIPATED WORK

- Seek approval of the update to the Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan by the Policy Committee on June 10.
- Send plan update to the individual governments.

BACKUP MATERIAL

- Final Draft of the Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan provided to the Committee members (also available on the MPO website - www.farmingtonmpo.org).

RECOMMENDATION

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee:
 - Review updates to the Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan.
 - Recommend approval of the update to the Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan.

FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
Agenda Item

Subject:	Major Thoroughfare Plan
Prepared by:	Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner
Date:	May 18, 2010

BACKGROUND or PREVIOUS WORK

- From 1990 to 2000 San Juan County experienced a tremendous amount of population growth - 25 percent in ten years.
- The County grew from 91,567 in 1990 to 114,043 in 2000.
- Approximately 80% of the population of San Juan County lives within the MPO boundary.
- Approximately 85% of the population of San Juan County is employed within the MPO boundary.
- The Major Thoroughfare Plan (MTP) is designed to accommodate both current and projected travel demands associated with growth.
- As part of the 2035 Metropolitan Transportation Plan staff reviewed with both the Technical and Policy Committees the roadway network and surrounding land uses as well as projected increases in population and traffic volumes.

ANTICIPATED WORK

- The development of a Roadway Classification Map showing all existing and future roadway designations.

BACKUP MATERIAL

- Reasons and justifications for developing a major thoroughfare plan within the FMPO.

RECOMMENDATION

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee receive a report on the Major Thoroughfare Plan.

Reason and Justification for the Development of a Major Thoroughfare Plan for the FMPO

Most MPO's and cities develop Major Thoroughfare Plans to establish guiding principles and policies which are specifically designed to advance the public health and safety of area residents. The guidelines and policies established within the thoroughfare plan are usually intended to:

- Ensure adequate emergency access to all areas of the MPO and County
- Allow for reasonable access and circulation of public transportation and non-motorized forms of transportation
- Alleviate current and future traffic volumes
- Create a plan that comprehensively addresses traffic circulation and traffic safety throughout the MPO area
- Identify, and distinguish, regional mobility corridors from access corridors

In conjunction with the 2035 Metropolitan Transportation Plan, the MPO Major Thoroughfare Plan will establish a framework for planning and developing the future roadway network for the county and local jurisdictions within the Farmington Metropolitan Planning area. Roadway classifications for existing and proposed roadway corridors will consider:

- Access management strategies identified in the Access Management Plan
- Capacity along existing roadway corridors
- Placement of new roadway facilities
- Accommodations for non-motorized travel and public transit services

The MPO Major Thoroughfare Plan is intended to provide guidance to the development of an innovative and sustainable network of regionally significant thoroughfares which will:

- Enhance the region's levels of service
- Preserve right-of-way for future corridors
- Correlate future investment with identified priorities and roadway scenarios within the 2035 Metropolitan Transportation Plan
- Strengthen the link between transportation planning, traffic management, and land use management
- Identify future roads that will be eligible for federal funding

FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
Agenda Item

Subject:	Red Apple Transit Study
Prepared by:	Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
Date:	May 17, 2010

BACKGROUND or PREVIOUS WORK

- The 2035 MTP identifies a need to evaluate the current transit system.
- The MPO, in cooperation with Red Apple Transit, will work with a consultant to determine future transit needs and recommend expansion options.

CURRENT WORK

- Seven firms were evaluated in April.
- LSC Consulting in Colorado Springs has been selected for the study.
- A six month work schedule has been developed and will be discussed with the Technical Committee members on May 27, 2010.
- Staff will begin work with LSC in late May.

ANTICIPATED WORK

- Invite stakeholders and the public to a kick-off meeting in June that describes the study and anticipated outcomes.
- Provide population and employment data to the consultant.
- Assist with land use and demographic analysis.

BACKUP MATERIAL

- Proposed work schedule.

RECOMMENDATION

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee receive a report on the Red Apple Transit Study.

LSC Proposed Work Schedule
May-November 2010

May

- Introductory meeting with staff and stakeholders to finalize scope and schedule
- Collect initial data from MPO and Red Apple

June

- Hold a kick-off public meeting to introduce the study and describe the process
- Steering Committee meeting with stakeholders
- Land use and demographic analysis

July

- Transit expansion scenario development
- Headway analysis
- Public meeting/ Steering Committee

August

- Transit expansion scenario development
- Headway analysis
- Transit Hub analysis
- Public meeting/ Steering Committee
- Submit Technical Memorandum

September

- Transit Hub analysis
- Financial analysis
- Implementation strategies
- Evaluation and recommendations
- Public meeting/ Steering Committee
- Draft final report

October/November

- Final analysis
- Final presentations
- Submittal of Final Report

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
Agenda Item**

Subject:	TIGER II
Prepared by:	Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
Date:	May 17, 2010

BACKGROUND or PREVIOUS WORK

- Last year's TIGER applications have been submitted, reviewed, and awarded.
- US 491 was the only TIGER application funded in New Mexico.

CURRENT WORK

- Pre-applications must be submitted by July 16, 2010.
- Final applications are due by August 23, 2010.
- Road, bridge, public transit, and intermodal facility projects are all eligible.
- A total of \$600 million is available for the program.
- Individual project awards will be between \$10-\$200 million in urban areas.
- Federal funding will cover 80% of the total.
- Similar selection criteria as last year's TIGER grant will be used.

ANTICIPATED WORK

- Assist the entities with applying if needed.

BACKUP MATERIAL

- TIGER II Fact Sheet.

RECOMMENDATION

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee receive a report on the TIGER II Grant Program.

TIGER II DISCRETIONARY GRANTS PROGRAM

TIGER II Funding: On Monday, April 26, 2010, the Department of Transportation solicited applications for the TIGER II discretionary grant program, a \$600 million competitive grant program for surface transportation projects. Pre-applications are due on July 16, 2010, and final applications are due on August 23, 2010. The pre-application will include basic information on projects and will be used by DOT to determine eligibility, the presence of the appropriate match and whether NEPA has been initiated.

Funding is available to units of government – including state, tribal and local governments, transit agencies, port authorities, MPOs and multi-jurisdictional entities – for capital investments in highway or bridge projects; public transportation projects; passenger and freight rail transportation projects; port infrastructure investments; and intermodal facilities.

Individual awards can be \$10-200 million, with up to 80% of project costs eligible for federal funding. \$140 million is reserved for projects in rural areas, where 100% of project costs are eligible for funding and project awards can be as low as \$1 million. No one state can receive more than 25% of program funds.

Up to \$150 million is available to support TIFIA financing, and up to \$35 million is available for transportation planning grants. Applicants may apply for capital grants, TIFIA financing or planning grants, though applicants for capital grants may be awarded TIFIA financing or a planning grant, if it is determined that is the most appropriate award.

Public Comments: The solicitation also provides two weeks for comments on the proposed selection criteria and guidance for awarding TIGER II Discretionary Grants. The Department will take all comments into consideration and may revise the solicitation by May 28, 2010.

HUD Community Challenge Grants: DOT and HUD are requesting comments on a proposal to solicit and evaluate applications for TIGER II transportation planning grants and HUD's \$40 million in Community Challenge Grants jointly. Activities eligible for HUD's grants are corridor or station-area plans, revisions to zoning or building codes and creating or preserving affordable housing for low-income families near transit.

Because the DOT's TIGER II planning funding and HUD's Community Challenge Planning Grant funding is for similar, related purposes DOT and HUD are interested in conducting a multi-agency evaluation and award process. This multi-agency approach is consistent with DOT's and HUD's participation in the "Partnership for Sustainable Communities" to help Americans in all communities – rural, suburban and urban – gain better access to affordable housing, more transportation options, lower transportation costs and a cleaner environment.

Selection Criteria: The Department will give priority to projects that have a significant impact on the following long-term outcomes:

- State of Good Repair: Improving the condition of existing transportation facilities and systems, with particular emphasis on projects that minimize life-cycle costs.
- Economic Competitiveness: Contributing to the economic competitiveness of the United States over the medium- to long-term.
- Livability: Fostering livable communities through place-based policies and investments that increase transportation choices and access to transportation services for people in communities across the United States.
- Sustainability: Improving energy efficiency, reducing dependence on oil, reducing greenhouse gas emissions and benefitting the environment.

- Safety: Improving the safety of U.S. transportation facilities and systems.
- Projects will also be evaluated based on the extent to which they include the following:
- Job Creation & Economic Stimulus: The Department will give priority to projects that are expected to quickly create and preserve jobs and stimulate rapid increases in economic activity, particularly jobs and activity that benefit economically distressed areas.
 - Innovation: Priority will be given to projects that use innovative strategies to pursue the long-term outcomes outlined above.
 - Partnership: The Department will give priority to projects that demonstrate strong collaboration among a broad range of participants and/or integration of transportation with other public service efforts.

TIGER Discretionary Grant Process and Awards: DOT received over 1400 applications totaling almost \$60 billion for the \$1.5 billion TIGER Discretionary Grant program created under ARRA. In February, 51 awards were announced for projects ranging from bridge replacements, freight rail corridor improvements, streetcar extensions, and bicycle/pedestrian networks. The largest grant award was \$105 million for a multi-state freight rail project, and the average award size was \$30 million.

DOT expects the TIGER II Discretionary Grant program to be just as competitive, though the total funding available is lower.

FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
Agenda Item

Subject:	Information Items
Prepared by:	Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner
Date:	May 17, 2010

INFORMATION ITEMS

- a. **Safety Announcement Follow-up.** Since Farmington and San Juan County received a project selection decision of “RSA/ Safety Study /Technical Support”, each entity needs to contact the NMDOT Safety Bureau to determine the follow-up technical support services that are needed.
- b. **Safe Routes to School Program Update.** The MPO finished taking arrival counts for the three participating schools within the Farmington School District. The MPO has also been meeting with the Bloomfield School District and City staff to begin efforts to establish a program within Bloomfield.
- c. **MPO Quarterly.** The Farmington MPO will host the next MPO Quarterly on June 23.
- d. **Other.**